

Your name

Martin Foley

**Electorate
Party**

**Albert Park
Labor**

The current Council and State government has rejected all attempts to review the legislation and to reform the City of Melbourne Act in order to give our City a more democratic, effective and efficient local government. If elected would you call upon the Minister for Local Government to conduct a comprehensive and independent review of the structure of the Council and the electoral system, including requiring at the very least a review of the electoral system every second electoral cycle as is required in other municipalities?

No response

Comment

No response

Currently Melbourne is the only municipality where councillors are elected for the city as a whole, not for local wards. In CoRBA's view this reduces councillors' responsiveness to local concerns. Do you support the restructuring of the Council to reinstate wards?

No response

Comment

No response

In addition to the recent re-integration of Kensington and Docklands into our City, there has been a significant increase in the resident population in the City of Melbourne. Do you consider that there are now too few Councillors to properly service the municipality and adequately reflect the population growth?

No response

Comment

No response

In CoRBA's view, Postal voting is vulnerable to fraud. No response
The VEC is not required by law to verify the names or dates of birth on each ballot. Do you agree that Postal voting should be reserved for those unable to go to a polling station due to infirmity or distance, and that attendance voting should be the rule?

Comment

No response

Do you consider that Councillors should elect the Lord Mayor and Deputy rather than those positions being directly elected?

No response

Comment

No response

Other than in relation to major developments in the CBD, there is no formal mechanism for facilitating collaboration or information sharing between the levels of government - thus Council is often ignored or sidelined by the State government. If elected, would you support the establishment a broader inter-governmental mechanism which would enable integrated decision-making between the State Government and the Melbourne City Council?

No response

Comment

No response

Which of these statements best reflect your views about community involvement in planning (select as many as you like)? No response

Do you support mandatory (ie non-discretionary) height limits on buildings? No response

Add comment if you wish

No response

Do you agree that urgent reform of the role of VCAT is required to restore its task of providing a forum for ordinary citizens to appeal against development decision without incurring prohibitive costs? No response

Comment

No response

How would you otherwise improve the certainty of planning outcomes in our municipality?

No response

What would you do to strengthen and focus the powers of Heritage Victoria in relation to planning and development matters?

No response

Should car parking be required in all new developments – commercial, sporting, cultural?

No response

Comment

No response

Do you support the further development of freeways or tollways to move traffic around the inner city, such as Westlink and the 'Eddington Tunnel'?

No response

Comment

No response

Do you support the new underground rail line between Footscray and the Domain?

No response

Add comment if you wish

No response

Should the "Congestion Tax" on full day car parking be [choose 1] • Removed? • Continued in its present form? • Expanded to be a tax on entry to the CBD by all private cars? No response

Add comment if you wish

No response

Do you agree with the current approach to
positioning of Super Tram Stops?
Add comment if you wish

No response

No response

Should there be increased 'pedestrian only' streets in
the city? No response

Add comment if you wish

No response

Do you support the removal of all car parking from the heritage listed Yarra Park and the provision of adequate public transport and purpose-built nearby parking?

No response

Add comment if you wish

No response

Do you support the use of the World Heritage Carlton Gardens for commercial activities such as the Melbourne International Flower and Garden Show?

No response

Add comment if you wish

No response

Should developers be required to provide "green space" for community use beyond the minimum 5% currently required?

No response

Comment

No response

Drunkenness, safety and night transport are serious concerns particularly in the CBD. There are weaknesses in current approaches, for example, there is no mechanism to ensure that the Liquor Licensing Board reports on new applications or liaises with stakeholders. What measures do you suggest to reduce street violence? No response

Would you support the State Government upgrading noise controls in dense inner city mixed use areas? No response

Comment

No response

What other suggestions do you have to make
Melbourne more liveable?

No response

Mark Lopez

Serge Thomann

Josie Young

**Albert Park
Liberal**

**Albert Park
Independent**

**Albert Park
Family First**

No response

No response

Yes

No response

No response

No response

No response

No response

Yes

No response

No response

No response

No response

No response

Yes

No response

No response

No response

No response

No response

Yes

No response

No response

No response

No response

No response

Yes

No response

No response

No response

No response

No response

Yes

No response

No response

No response

No response

No response

Ordinary citizens have a right to be engaged in planning at all stages – strategy and individual developments. To the extent that ordinary citizens have a role it should be limited to consultation on specific developments. Councillors and representatives of residents and business interests should take part in discussions on any planning applications considered at State Government level. There should be a review of the State government's planning authority in relation to large scale project developments, with a view to greater transparency and Council acquiring greater planning responsibility. The City requires urgent reform of the planning system to ensure that broad planning parameters are based on genuine community consultation, and that individual decisions are based on those parameters. There should be public advertisement and the right of citizens to appeal against development in all parts of the municipality.

No response

No response

Yes

No response

No response

No response

No response

No response

Yes

No response

No response

No response

No response

No response

Involve people at an earlier stage.
Remove State government from
the process.

No response

No response

Ensure that it is involved at the commencement of planning.

No response

No response

Yes

No response

No response

No response

No response

No response

Yes

No response

No response

No response

No response

No response

Yes

No response

No response

No response

No response

No response

Removed?

No response

No response

No response

No response

No response

Yes

No response

No response

No response

No response

No response

Yes

No response

No response

No response

No response

No response

Yes

No response

No response

No response

No response

No response

Yes

No response

No response

No response

No response

No response

Yes

No response

No response

No response

No response

No response

FAMILY FIRST wants to see a reduction in alcohol fueled violence on our streets. FAMILY FIRST would like to see a ban on takeaway bottle shops selling liquor between midnight and 7am, and a removal of the link between alcohol advertising and sport which is encouraging a culture of binge drinking in our youth.

No response

No response

Yes

No response

No response

Especially with regards to
Nightclubs located near Serviced
Apartments / Hotels.

No response

No response

Make it easier/faster/cheaper to
enter/exit the CBD by public
transport.

Paul Joseph Giuliano

Rose Iser

**Essendon
Independent**

**Essendon
The Greens**

Yes

Yes

true democracy is representation
by an elected official

The City of Melbourne should be
subject to the same review
processes as all Victorian
municipalities. In recent
legislation this was altered to
every third electoral cycle (min 8
yrs, max 12 years between
reviews).

Yes

Yes

-yes, with an individual councillor per ward. - accountable

Wodonga, West Wimmera, Wellington, Warrnambool, Wangaratta, Towong, Surf Coast Shire, Southern Grampians, Queenscliffe, Moyne, Moira, Mildura, Indigo, Horsham, Golden Plains, Glenelg, Colac Otway, Benalla, Ararat, Alpine are also unsubdivided municipalities (no wards). The structure of the municipality would be the subject of regular review under point 4 above and the structure should be that which can best serve the needs of the communities of interest within the city as determined through a submission and panel process.

Yes

No response

No response

It is estimated that in 2014, there will be 115,000 people in The City of Melbourne. The number of councillors would also be subject to review under point 4 above. The number should be sufficient to meet the needs of the multiple communities of interest. Residents should be able to expect councillors to be accessible and the demands on councillors should be manageable. It would be arguable that the needs of a capital city do require a smaller ratio of councillor to population. By contrast, Greater Geelong has 12 Councillors to serve 220,000 people. Brimbank, 11 to serve 180,000 and Maribyrnong has 7 councillors to serve 70,000 residents. The number of councillors required is also related to hours dedicated to the role and this is reflected in remuneration. Variations in these may have flow on effects for the number of councillors deemed appropriate.

Yes

Yes

as a rule yes, but can differ

Democracy and the right to a secret ballot are compromised by the postal voting system which cannot adequately protect against interference with voting.

Arguments about cost do not adequately counter the importance of protecting people's voting rights through attendance voting.

Yes

No

judged by your peers

This should be put to consultation with the residents of The City of Melbourne. Direct election of the Lord Mayor gives residents a greater say in the election than an indirect appointment of councillors. Improving the voting eligibility within The City of Melbourne to give greater weight to the principle of 'one vote, one value' and remove anomalies of voting eligibility would improve the process of direct election. Internal appointment is vulnerable to factional and party deals and arrangements.

Yes

No response

No response

Potentially - however, as a model for inter-governmental planning, the Development Assessment Committees are flawed and should be abolished. Any mechanism must retain local decision making powers and policies and third party rights of notice and appeal.

<p>To the extent that ordinary citizens have a role it should be limited to consultation on specific developments. Councillors and representatives of residents and business interests should take part in discussions on any planning applications considered at State Government level. Councillors and representatives of residents and business interests should take part in discussions on any planning applications considered at State Government level. There should be a review of the State government's planning authority in relation to large scale project developments, with a view to greater transparency and Council acquiring greater planning responsibility. The City requires urgent reform of the planning system to ensure that broad planning parameters are based on genuine community consultation, and that individual decisions are based on those parameters. There should be public advertisement and the right of citizens to appeal against development in all parts of the municipality.</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>Ordinary citizens have a right to be engaged in planning at all stages – strategy and individual developments. Councillors and representatives of residents and business interests should take part in discussions on any planning applications considered at State Government level. There should be a review of the State government's planning authority in relation to large scale project developments, with a view to greater transparency and Council acquiring greater planning responsibility. The City requires urgent reform of the planning system to ensure that broad planning parameters are based on genuine community consultation, and that individual decisions are based on those parameters. Local governments in consultation with their communities should be able to set mandatory height limits for sites. VCAT and the Planning Minister should not be able to override these. Councils may choose to alter these for applications that meet high environmental or social benefits - but should only do so through a formal process and in</p> <p>Yes</p>
--	--

No response

Local governments in consultation with their communities should be able to set mandatory height limits for sites. VCAT and the Planning Minister should not be able to override these. Councils may choose to alter these for applications that meet high environmental or social benefits - but should only do so through a formal process and in consultation with the community.

Yes

Yes

No response

No response

-structure plans -MSS -planning
scheme amendments -hieght
restrictions etc

Transform ResCode discretionary
guidelines to mandatory
standards. Make provision in the
VPS for respect for local planning
policies and overlays.

on major developments and in heritage areas there assesment should account for 50% of proposal

Maintain third party rights for planning applications, reviewing where these have been removed and legislating for third party rights under the Heritage Act.

Undertake consultation on the recommendations from the 2007 Heritage Overlay Review.

Yes

No response

with real ratios

Loading bays and disabled parking should be included as appropriate. Car-parking requirements should be measured according to maximum rather than minimum standards and measures should be included to provide for sustainable transport use - such as cycling facilities and showers and no issue of on-street permits.

Yes

No

No response

I support an increase in public transport to move people around all parts of the city on safe, fast and frequent public transport.

Yes

Yes

No response

There are benefits in starting with an underground link such as this. Some concerns can be raised regarding the location of stations and the need for further links following this, but there is a need to create an alternative path into the CBD that does not go through Nth Melbourne station and this is one way of achieving this.

Removed?

Replaced by a tax on entry to the CBD by all private cars?

No response

I support incentives for people to change their means of transportation to sustainable forms of transport.

No

No response

No response

I don't know enough about the concerns people may have and would support measures to improve safety and accessibility of tram-users and pedestrians.

Yes

Yes

some areas

No response

Yes

Yes

in all councils across cbd it is
illegal to use rec /grounds for
parking

No response

No

No

No response

The Showgrounds are an excellent venue for day time commercial expos and activities.

Yes

Yes

No response

Understanding this to be a reference to the 5% open space developers' contribution, this percentage should be increased for larger scale residential developments.

greater police presence, free transport till 7am, advertising all liquor lic/apps, limit liquor lic/issued, etc

Better enforcement of alcohol bans on streets of Melbourne. Expansion of these to appropriate areas where night-club and hotel activities are currently resulting in alcohol related anti-social behaviour in the streets. More effective mechanisms for the revocation of licences for breaches of licence conditions. review of hours of operation and closing times.

Yes

Yes

No response

No response

visit my web site
www.pauljosephgiuliano.com.au
you do not have enough room for
my opinion

Safer cycling and walking paths
throughout the city, parks and
residential areas.

Bronwyn Pike

Clem Newton-Brown

Maxine Fensom

**Melbourne
Labor**

**Prahran
Liberal**

**Melbourne
Independent**

No response

Yes

Yes

No response

No response
As a former Councillor and Deputy
Lord Mayor of Melbourne my
personal view is that nothing
should be set in stone and we
should continue to look at ways of
improving things.

No response

Yes

Yes

No response

Having run in election under both systems my personal view is that wards provide a focus for Councillors and dedicated Councillor points of contact for constituents.

No response

No response

No

Yes

No response

Under the current system of each Councillor being responsible for the whole City I do not believe that having more Councillors will reduce workloads. In my experience dedicated Councillors will try to be on top of every area of responsibility. This may be different under a ward system where individual focus on geographic areas means that for example the local Councillor for South Yarra would not have to be as involved with Carlton or East Melbourne or other areas.

No response

No response

Yes

Yes

No response

In my experience piles of postal votes get dumped in apartment blocks leaving the system open to fraud.

No response

No response

No

No

No response

I believe that the City benefits
from a Lord Mayor who has some
wider base in the community.

No response

No response

Yes

Yes

No response

My personal view is that there
needs to be better collaboration
between Council and State
Government.

No response

No response

Ordinary citizens have a right to be engaged in planning at all stages – strategy and individual developments. Councillors and representatives of residents and business interests should take part in discussions on any planning applications considered at State Government level. There should be a review of the State government’s planning authority in relation to large scale project developments, with a view to greater transparency and Council acquiring greater planning responsibility. The City requires urgent reform of the planning system to ensure that broad planning parameters are based on genuine community consultation, and that individual decisions are based on those parameters. There should be public advertisement and the right of citizens to appeal against development in all parts of the municipality. The culture of secrecy and sham community consultations under Minister Madden must stop. The public are not fools to be locked out of planning decisions.

Ordinary citizens have a right to be engaged in planning at all stages – strategy and individual developments. Councillors and representatives of residents and business interests should take part in discussions on any planning applications considered at State Government level. There should be a review of the State government’s planning authority in relation to large scale project developments, with a view to greater transparency and Council acquiring greater planning responsibility. The City requires urgent reform of the planning system to ensure that broad planning parameters are based on genuine community consultation, and that individual decisions are based on those parameters. There should be public advertisement and the right of citizens to appeal against development in all parts of the municipality.

No response

Yes

Yes

No response

Cities such as Paris and London seem to have preserved their lower scale heritage buildings. We should do the same.

No response

No response

Yes

Yes

No response

As a planning barrister I do feel that the original intent of VCAT to be a low cost Tribunal has morphed into a forum for developers to present highly skilled teams of expensive consultants. The residents are often at a huge disadvantage. Developers are happy to sink money into their appeals because they are gambling on getting eg extra storeys. For residents there is no such pot of gold at the end of the process. I am not sure what the solution is though....perhaps looking into a "planning aid" scheme?

No response

No response

Overhaul of the Act and the Planning Schemes.

more involvement and discussion with the broader community before decision are made

No response

Encourage greater involvement at the planning stage of a development and greater participation in planning appeals. keep our beautiful heritage buildings and not allow massive ugly new constructions to replace our old and lovely buildings

No response

No

Yes

No response

Not necessarily. We must reduce the number of cars on our roads. However, new developments without parking should not result in greater pressure for existing residents. For example, if an apartment has no car parking it should not be eligible for a parking permit.

No response

No response

Yes

No

No response

Subject to a proper cost/benefit analysis I would support it.

No response

No response

Yes

Yes

No response

Subject to a proper cost/benefit analysis I would support this.

No response

No response

No response

Replaced by a tax on entry to the CBD by all private cars?

No response

I would need to do more research on this matter. No response

No response

No response

No

No response

Question is too broad to answer in relation to all Super Stops. No response

No response

Yes

Yes

No response

the closure of Lt Collins and
Flinders Lane at lunchtimes is
great.

No response

No response

No response

Yes

No response

Would need further information to
properly answer this.

No response

No response

Yes

Yes

No response

Subject to the Gardens being properly protected I believe we should encourage use.

No response

No response

Yes

Yes

No response

In some circumstances this may be appropriate.

No response

No response

The Liberal Party has a full range of measures which can be found on our website eg abolish suspended sentences, more police, bans on sale of knives to minors.

put more police on the street foot patrols security guards on all licelnsed venues

No response

No response

Yes

No response

Perhaps, although I suspect the issue of noise is best dealt with on a case by case basis taking into account all factors in the relevant area.

No response

No response

Please refer to my local policies on my website.

keep cars out of the streets, control the drunks in the inner city clubs with more involvement with police on foot, no more massive high rise buildngs

Rory Killen

Dr Peter Lazzari

Luke Martin

**Melbourne
Australian Sex Party**

**Melbourne
Independent**

**Melbourne
Liberal**

Yes

Yes

No response

There is no question that Melbourne is a unique City in Victoria. The City is a business district, a transport hub, and the location of sites of significant cultural and social importance to Greater Melbourne. This uniqueness is not, however, sufficient grounds to reduce the democratic processes that Council elections should exhibit. I absolutely support a review of Council structure and the electoral system on recurring basis.

Totally open government at every level is fundamental to genuine democracy.

No response

Yes

Yes

No response

Being responsible for a ward facilitates accountability amongst councillors in other municipalities. The Sex Party wants an open relationship with government. Having direct accountability by way of wards opens that relationship, and facilitates the communities' abilities to advise council of their desires. Given the lack of wards, there is a dilution of responsibility when things go wrong. This is quite undesirable. Melbourne residents are very aware that their City is important to Greater Melbourne. Having councillors elected at a ward-level will not reduce decision-making that takes into account the needs of both residents and people who come to the City for other purposes.

No response

No response

No response

No response

No response

I'll have to leave this as a 'maybe' as there are competing concerns, that should be reviewed. On the one hand, there must be a sufficient number of councillors to reflect population diversity. Too few councillors, and location- or population-specific concerns will be left out. The competing perspective is that council must operate efficiently and cost-effectively. I earlier expressed my support for recurrent review of the council structure. The need for additional, or fewer, councillors should be regularly assessed as part of that recurrent review based on the competing concerns that I have outlined.

No special view

No response

No response

Yes

No response

Postal voting is vulnerable, however, I am not aware of evidence that fraud is in fact occurring. Postal voting has benefits for more than just the infirm or absent. It is a beneficial component of our electoral system that allows for convenient participation in elections for people in a range of circumstances. I would oppose restrictions to postal voting until I became convinced that it was in fact compromising the outcome of elections. That does not appear to be happening at a scale that would affect outcomes.

No response

No response

Yes

No

No response

Nomination within the council facilitates efficiency. For example, a few years ago, the City of Brisbane (who also have a popularly elected Lord Mayor) elected a Liberal Lord Mayor though the Council was Labor dominated. I recall reading that the Labor councillors declared smoking prohibited in the main street of Brisbane, and the Lord Mayor ordered it permitted later the same day. If I remember correctly, this resulted in a week-long stand off with 'no smoking' signs being put up and torn down. It was an absurd situation.

It must be a truly democratic choice open to all voters.

No response

Yes

Yes

No response

Absolutely! The lack of information sharing between levels of government and even between different state authorities is ridiculous. It results in duplication of research, contradictory intentions, and a lot of wasted time. At the very least, a collaborative effort should produce a coherent plan. Preferably, where Council and State responsibilities overlap, an integrated inter-governmental approach will be adopted.

No response

No response

Ordinary citizens have a right to be engaged in planning at all stages – strategy and individual developments. Councillors and representatives of residents and business interests should take part in discussions on any planning applications considered at State Government level. Councillors and representatives of residents and business interests should take part in discussions on any planning applications considered at State Government level. There should be a review of the State government's planning authority in relation to large scale project developments, with a view to greater transparency and Council acquiring greater planning responsibility. The City requires urgent reform of the planning system to ensure that broad planning parameters are based on genuine community consultation, and that individual decisions are based on those parameters. There should be public advertisement and the right of citizens to appeal against development in all parts of the municipality.

Ordinary citizens have a right to be engaged in planning at all stages – strategy and individual developments.

No response

No response

Yes

No response

<p>It is a reality that the population of Melbourne is growing and greater residence density in some areas is unavoidable if we want to ensure every citizen has convenient access to services such as public transport and healthcare, et cetera. Concerns about matters such as height restrictions are often prompted by concern that the city will lose its character or amenity. I feel that Melbourne has, so far, done a rather good job of preserving its culture and special qualities despite growing significantly over the decades. Rather than oppose greater urban density outright, I prefer to acknowledge that Melbourne will grow by millions in the next few decades, and I prefer that we develop an urban plan that will preserve what we love about our city while acknowledging the realities of growth. Height limits may be very appropriate in areas with historic architecture, for example, while other areas are permitted more growth. I would hate to see that kind of urban sprawl that is occurring in Sydney</p>	<p>Reduce the uncontrolled rush to even greater population density in the City of Melbourne. We have been copying overseas models that ruin big cities for too long.</p>	<p>No response</p>
<p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>No response</p>

The provision of cheap access to appeal and justice is an extremely forward-thinking policy.

VCAT is a farce. It always sides with government authorities while pretending to be friendly to individual citizens. It should be charged with false advertising.

No response

No response

Have ALL the information available to everyone. No secret deals. No meetings behind closed doors.

No response

Needless to say, Melbourne's architectural and cultural heritage are important to the citizens of the city, as well as contributing to positioning Melbourne as a world-class city. Decisions impacting upon Heritage Victoria's recommendations certainly must undergo open community consultation. Individual citizens value the city's heritage highly, and decisions that may impact upon the city's heritage would be all the better protected with community involvement.

Act as an independent public advocate and in parliament to protect and preserve Heritage buildings.

No response

Yes

Yes

No response

Absolutely! It would be ideal if everyone would use public transport but, of course, this is not an option for all. Until parking is more readily available, encouraging the inclusion of such facilities is desirable.

No response

No

No response

I would prefer to see investment in an adequate and effective 'metro' style public transport system to ease congestion on the roads.

I support every effort to direct traffic away from central Melbourne.

No response

Yes

No response

No response

No response

See 16

No response

No response

Continued in much like its present form? No response

I am inclined to support replacing it with a tax on entry to the CBD as I am aware that that has proven effective in London, for example. However, I have not seen research specifically on how these proposals would affect the people of Melbourne so would want to see a cost-benefit analysis before committing myself.

No response

No response

Yes

Yes

No response

Yes with a but... I would support the way that the super tram stops are being rolled out, but it needs to occur with a more integrated and efficient public transport network to realise local community benefit.

No response

No response

Yes

Yes

No response

In line with my opinions calling for a more effective 'metro' style public transport system, cities should be significantly handed over to pedestrians. This is subject, of course, to a metro-style system being put in place.

No response

No response

Yes

Yes

No response

Absolutely!

No response

No response

Yes

Yes

No response

One of the wonderful aspects of Melbourne's gardens is the potential for community use, even by way of commercial activities. Adequate planning is required, of course, to see that community use respects that value inherent in these locations.	No response	No response
--	-------------	-------------

Yes	Yes	No response
-----	-----	-------------

Again, in line with my previous statements calling for increased public transport and opening up the city to pedestrians, additional green space is desirable in a modern city.	25 % at a minimum	No response
---	-------------------	-------------

<p>We absolutely must provide better late night public transport. Other cities, including cities smaller than ours, provide 24-hour weekend transport and have enjoyed the benefits to community safety. I do not understand why 24 hour weekend transport is not provided. It will resolve many issues surrounding safety and public drunkenness.</p>	<p>Zero tolerance by police and the courts. Close down clubs that tolerate drunkenness use of any stimulant rugs and aggressive behaviour. No new clubs. No new licences. Closing time 1am across the board. Vigorous public education on the dangers of sleep deprivation alone and in combination with alcohol and stimulant drugs. No glass of any sort where alcohol is served. No glass of any sort in public spaces where alcohol is allowed. No second chances where these rules are breached---\$2000 fines for individuals and immediate loss of licence for venues.</p>	<p>No response</p>
--	---	--------------------

Yes

No response

I live just around the corner from a pub that often has loud music going at all hours. It isn't excessive, however, and does not affect me significantly. As someone who lives in the inner-suburbs, I feel that if you move to these areas, you get the many benefits and you get the odd nuisance as well. The inner city is for the enjoyment not just of locals, but of Greater Melbourne as well. Excessive noise control risk the culture of the inner city, which is for many the reason why we move here.

No response

Oh, I'm going to be a bore and mention improved public transport again! I would also love to see enhanced support for community and independent arts, as the culture of our city is very much part of why our city is so liveable.

No new high density living projects of any sort. Ban all heavy vehicles within 10 km of the Melbourne GPO. Heavy tax insentives to favour rail transport over trucking .

Brian Walters

Katharine Anderson

Kathleen Maltzahn

**Melbourne
Greens**

**Prahran
Independent**

**Richmond
The Greens**

Yes

Yes

Yes

No response

No response

No response

No response

Yes

Yes

I support a more democratic form of government. Wards are one positive way of achieving this.

No response

No response

Yes

Yes

No response

No response

No response

The number of councillors should be reviewed as part of the review required to look at the structure of the Council discussed above.

Yes

Yes

y

No response

No response

No response

Yes

No response

No response

No response

not sure on this one.

No response

Yes

Yes

No response

No response

No response

I support better coordination, but it must not come at the cost of respect for local government decisions. On the matter of planning rules, the Greens support a rule-based planning system.

The City requires urgent reform of the planning system to ensure that broad planning parameters are based on genuine community consultation, and that individual decisions are based on those parameters.

Ordinary citizens have a right to be engaged in planning at all stages – strategy and individual developments.

Ordinary citizens have a right to be engaged in planning at all stages – strategy and individual developments. Councillors and representatives of residents and business interests should take part in discussions on any planning applications considered at State Government level. There should be a review of the State government's planning authority in relation to large scale project developments, with a view to greater transparency and Council acquiring greater planning responsibility. There should be public advertisement and the right of citizens to appeal against development in all parts of the municipality.

Yes

Yes

Yes

In many cases this could be extended to building envelopes as a whole.

No response

No response

Yes

Yes

Yes

VCAT should be limited to review of process, not reconsideration of decisions from the outset.

After having spent about 5 years at VCAT (FOI's) I know what it can cos. The "peoples' court", is nothing of the sort!!

Bringing in a rule-based planning system would transform VCAT, as its role would only be where there has been a brach of process. This would mena that residents would not be faced with the need to raise huge amounts for legal fees at VCAT.

I would move to the kind of prescriptive approach that has been successfully adopted in Vancouver and other cities, engaging the community in making plans with clear goals that are then not subject to discretion.

Keep Madden out of it for a start.

Introduce rule-based planning
Allow height limits Improve ESD
and access requirements in
planning Integrate planning to
include better consideration of
transport education, open space
and other community
requirements.

I would repeal s 73(1)(b) of the Heritage Act which leaves open the prospect that considerations of profit prevail over heritage values.

Not sure, but there must be a way to do it.

Rule-based planning would strengthen consideration of heritage

No

Yes

Car parking requirements should be considered in the context of alternate transport (good public transport, share cars, bicycle parking) Where care parking is not required, protection of existing residential car parking access should be considered. (eg parking permits cannot be extended to users of new developments).

Whilst I support a paradigm shift to less cars, this should not be used as a way to get cheaper developments which do not serve the wider community and which cause parking problems for neighbours. Merely restricting car spaces will not be enough to get people out of cars. But some move in that direction will be inevitable.

No response

No response

No

No response

No response

The proposed Westlink would create gridlock in Docklands, West Melbourne, and Kensington. It should not proceed.

it's time our rail system was nade

No response

No

Yes

No response

The benefits of this line remain unproven. It does not intersect with the northern lines to Craigieburn and Upfield, and the new station at Arden is only 700 metres from North Melbourne - and hence within its catchment. The stations along Swanston St will need to be many storeys underground to enable the line to pass under the Yarra, and interconnection with Melbourne Central and Flinders St will be very difficult. The Domain is already well serviced with transport, and Caulfield (to which the line is supposed ultimately to proceed) already has a perfectly good rail connection to Flinders St.

No response

No response

Replaced by a tax on entry to the CBD by all private cars?

No response

No response

Any such tax on entry should be accompanied by a better public transport system - including 24 hour transport for a 24 hour city.

it is open to fraud as it is, so I don't know the answer to this question No response

Yes

No response

No response

No response

Disabled people such as myself, rarely risk getting on a tram, so what's the point.

No response

Yes

Yes

No response

No response

but there is actually no such thing No response
now!

Yes

Yes

No response

It is shameful to use this beautiful park for cars for over 220 days a year. We ran the Commonwealth Games without using this as a carpark, and there is no need for the practice to continue.

No response

No response

No

Yes

No response

MIFGS is inappropriate for Carlton Gardens. It is not consistent with the historic use of the gardens and it is not consistent with the heritage listing. It is also harmful to trees in the gardens (a particularly striking Kaffir Lime was lost this year) and makes the gardens inaccessible to residents for a lengthy period.

No response

Yes

Yes

No response

No response

No response

No response

I would oppose licensing of large beer barns. Greater liaison between Council, liquor licensing, and police would be of benefit, as many applicants play the different agencies off against each other. The Greens would also move to ban all alcohol advertising. Introducing 24 hour transport would also relieve some of the frustration that can lead to violence very late at night.

Accountability and liaising must be mandatory. No response

Yes

No response

No response

I fully support live music, but as we have seen with the reforms in recent years in Brisbane, it is possible to have carefully defined precincts which give residents protection - and clear expectations - but which also allows live music in some places. Controlling the times at which live music operates, and in particular some frequencies of music, should be an important part of the solution.

I am mixed here. Live music venues must be preserved. Thumping nightclubs are a different matter entirely.

No response

I would improve bike paths throughout the city, including along the north bank of the Yarra.

Drug and alcohol fuelled violence must be addressed. Drug taking at nightclubs is currently more or less legal, as the police do nothing and are rarely seen on the beat.

No response
